UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/507,232	04/19/2005	Michael R Green	07917-166US1	1799
26161 FISH & RICHA	7590 10/28/200 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022	2 S, MN 55440-1022	LUCAS, ZACHARIAH		
MINNEAPOLI	.5, MIN 55440-1022	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1648	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/28/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/507,232	GREEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
1	I	1	

Zachariah Lucas 1648		Zachariah Lucas	1648	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:				
a) \square The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (l	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>17 October 2008</u> . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>17 October 2008</u> . A britten date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disr	nissal of the
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for				
appeal; and/or	'''	parraananding number of finally rais	atad alaima	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	<u> </u>	. ,,	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	<u> </u>			,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:´ Claim(s) objected to:	Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-10,13-15,36,37 and 39-44</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>45-50</u> .	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>45-50</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	1. 🛮 The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.				
<u> </u>				
/Zachariah Lucas/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1648			nit 1648	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims have been limited to a species that was not previously rejected. It is noted that the species was not one of the species that was previously presented in the claims. As previously presented, the claims referred to insertion of heterolgous sequences at positions 36 or 68 of the SU of the MLV ecotropic envelope protein. The present claims are drawn to embodiments wherein the insertion is at position 38. Moreover, even if the claims were drawn to an insertion at position 36, the claims were previously and properly rejected on the basis of an alternative species. Amendment of the claims at this point would therefore still require further search and examination upon cancellation of the reference to that rejected alternative species.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented in the response depend on the entry of the claim amendments. As the amendments have not been entered, the arguments are not found persuasive.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicants arguments with respect to the IDS are noted. With reference to MPEP 609.05(a), it is noted that MPEP 609.05(a) indicates that compliance of an IDS is based upon the IDS at the time of its filing. Moreover, a corrected version of the IDS is permitted, but as indicated by the MPEP section. However, the corrected version is considered to be a new IDS, and compliance of the new IDS with the patent rules is determined as of the date of the filing of the new IDS. In the present case, the new IDS does not comply with 37 CFR 1.97 as of the date that it was filed. The IDS has therefore not been considered as indicated in the prior action.